

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,855	06/20/2001	David Alexander Learmonth	AAT-12563	4106
75	90 10/21/2004		EXAM	INER
Rankin, Hill, Porter & Clark LLP			RAYMOND, RICHARD L	
Suite 700 925 Euclid Ave	nue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH 44115			1624	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/885,855	LEARMONTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Raymond	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingle by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 July 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
 4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,10-31,46,47 and 51-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3, 11-31, 46, 47 and 51-57 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	_, _,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/885,855

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Change of Examiner

1. Note the change of Examiner in the present application. The Art Unit number (1624) remains the same.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The Response of July 27, 2004 canceled claim 58. Accordingly, claims 1, 3, 5, 10-31, 46, 47 and 51-57 are pending.
- 3. In view of the amendments and arguments presented in the Response, all the rejections of record have been overcome. Upon conducting a search in the literature (STN/CAS), the following new ground of rejection, however, is seen necessary.

Claim Rejections-35 USC 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 5 and 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the newly cited Takahi et al. Chemical Abstracts reference, corresponding to JP 53012421 of record on the Form PTO-1449. Note that in formula I, X can be OH and n

Application/Control Number: 09/885,855

Art Unit: 1624

can be 2, and the X and NO₂ groups can be in the 5,6,7 positions as shown in the related disclosed dimethoxy species. One would be motivated to prepare the present 5,6-dihydroxy-7-nitro-indan-1-ones from within the generic teaching of the reference with the reasonable expectation of obtaining additional compounds useful for the use of the reference compounds. In the absence of a showing of unexpected properties, not actually possessed by the closest compound of the reference, no patentable significance is seen in the present selection. A translation of the corresponding Japanese patent is being obtained to determine if any compounds of the patent actually anticipate the present compounds.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 11-31, 46, 47 and 51-57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, or upon allowance of a claim generic thereto.

Conclusion

8. This action is **not** made final.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,855

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (571) 272-0673. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Raymon Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

rr September 10, 2004